

**Restructuring in the Rearview Mirror – a 10-Year Retrospective of California’s
Doomed Experiment with Electric Deregulation.
Courtroom 22 Coverage of the PG&E Bankruptcy**

CEM Story Index for Courtroom 22 during 2002:

- PG&E raises bankruptcy shield against CPUC inquiries - No. 650 [21]
- Small creditors get streamlined process; Chrome 6 litigants put off - No. 650 [21.1]
- Lockyer goes after PG&E Corp. funds - No. 651 [18]
- CPUC urges judge Montali to consider alternatives to PG&E reorganization plan - No. 651 [19]
- Chromium suits may go to state court - No. 651 [19.1]
- PG&E files claim against state for prohibiting plant sales - No. 652 [16]
- Judge Montali wants details of CPUC reorganization plan for PG&E - No. 652 [23]
- PG&E and creditors slog through plan disclosure objections - No. 652 [23.1]
- Montali raises eyebrows at PG&E's adamant belief in federal supremacy - No. 654 [18]
- PG&E Informs SEC about its bankruptcy reorganization plan - No. 654 [18.1]
- TURN cedes a little ground on bankruptcy plan liability - No. 654 [18.2]
- Montali rejects PG&E broad preemption argument - No. 655 [26]
- Bypassing CPUC, PG&E asks court for transmission spending - No. 655 [26.1]
- Different roads to recovery - No. 656 [13]
- Alternate bankruptcy plan adds up to ratepayer savings, claims CPUC - No. 656 [23]
- Shuffling venues in PG&E dockets - No. 656 [23.1]
- PG&E finds fatal flaws in CPUC terms for bankruptcy reorganization plan - No. 657 [22]
- CPUC gets green light for rival PG&E reorganization plan - No. 658 [22]
- PG&E Corp. returns to black ink; National Energy group scales back - No. 659 [24]
- PG&E restates exit plan - No. 659 [25]
- PG&E sorts through billions and billions in claims - No. 659 [25.1]
- New schedule for suits by AG and SF - No. 659 [25.2]
- Judge paves way for PG&E appeal of preemption findings - No. 661 [21]
- CPUC balks at revised PG&E plan - No. 661 [21.1]
- Legal fees add up in Chapter 11 case - No. 661 [21.2]
- PG&E complaint against Cal-ISO stayed for the duration of Chapter 11 - No. 661 [21.3]
- Crucial phase in PG&E bankruptcy may begin June 17 - No. 662 [19]
- PG&E hits rough patch on power generator claims estimates - No. 662 [19.1]
- PG&E takes preemption issue to appeal - No. 662 [19.2]
- Courtroom 22: PG&E files another version of reorganization plan - No. 663 [11]
- PG&E's bankruptcy filing OK'd - No. 664 [9]
- A year in courtroom 22 - No. 664 [11]
- CPUC's PG&E bankruptcy plan promises rate decreases later, comes under fire from all sides - No. 665 [20]
- PG&E disclosure statement approved; fate of civil lawsuits hangs in balance - No. 666 [26]
- CPUC whittles down objections to plan disclosure, but 'gotcha' issue looms over confirmability - No. 668 [22]
- CPUC disclosure package approval sets stage for creditors' vote - No. 669 [22]
- Rival bankruptcy plans carry equal risks; creditors recommend hedging bets by choosing both - No. 672 [25]
- PG&E closer to mark on claims - No. 673 [24]
- FERC accepts PG&E restructuring plan for hearings - No. 673 [24.1]
- Montali parses civil suits and sends first-priority claims back to state court - No. 674 [24]
- TURN not a creditor, PG&E says; objections mount to various claims - No. 674 [24.1]
- Federal judge accepts PG&E appeal of express-preemption issue - No. 675 [25]
- CPUC contracts with UBS Warburg to arrange financing for PG&E reorganization - No. 675 [25.1]
- US Trustee Stanley relieved of duty - No. 675 [25.2]
- Creditors leave open possibility of third plan for PG&E bankruptcy exit - No. 676 [21]
- A final victory for trustee - No. 676 [21.1]
- Courtroom 22: Activists want investigation of US trustee firing - No. 677 [10]
- CPUC/Warburg deal a bad one, say PG&E, trustee and creditors - No. 678 [24]

**Restructuring in the Rearview Mirror – a 10-Year Retrospective of California’s
Doomed Experiment with Electric Deregulation.
Courtroom 22 Coverage of the PG&E Bankruptcy**

- Judge rejects up-front payments for UBS Warburg - No. 679 [23]
- No summary judgments in PG&E filed-rate-doctrine case - No. 679 [25.1]
- UBS Warburg back in picture - No. 680 [21]
- PG&E pays off small creditors - No. 680 [21.1]
- Judge rejects CPUC attempt to halt PG&E plan promotion - No. 681 [22]
- California Supreme Court passes on cases against CPUC - No. 682 [8]
- PG&E loses support of creditors' committee to CPUC - No. 683 [23]
- TURN offers variation on a PG&E bankruptcy plan - No. 684 [22]
- Express preemption ruling puts PG&E on faster track - No. 685 [18]
- CPUC wants bankruptcy vote reopened, but PG&E will not commit - No. 685 [18.1]
- Rival bankruptcy plans survive creditors' vote - No. 686 [23]
- PG&E wants no ballot recount, but Montali allows new preference votes - No. 688 [22]
- CPUC appeals preemption decision - No. 688 [22.1]
- Creditors' committee joins CPUC for latest PG&E reorganization plan - No. 688 [22.2]
- Creditors and CPUC can hire UBS Warburg at PG&E expense, but judge limits payment guarantees - No. 689 [22]
- UBS Warburg's new hire draws flak from consumer groups - No. 690 [14.1]
- FERC judge sides with PG&E's reorganization contract - No. 691 [14.4]
- CPUC adversary proceeding sticks around - No. 692 [28]
- PG&E bankruptcy case waits on other court actions - No. 694 [28]
- Bankruptcy court allows PG&E's basic net-short procurement - No. 695 [22]
- Judge rules preemption applies during bankruptcy hearings - No. 695 [22.1]
- AG calls for PG&E Corp.'s express state regulation - No. 695 [22.2]
- Bankruptcy hearings start at the bank - No. 696 [22]
- PG&E's motion to knock out CPUC reorganization plan falls flat - No. 697 [20]
- CPUC floats another PG&E reorganization plan - No. 698 [24]
- CPUC reorganization plan might get revote - No. 698 [24.1]
- CPUC to hold hearings on PG&E reorganization plans - No. 700 [13.3]
- PG&E seeks new franchise deals with cities - No. 700 [22.1]
- PG&E says plan is loaded with goodies; others say lumps of coal - No. 700 [23]
- PG&E's proposal to reorder assets and liability raises red flags - No. 700 [23.1]

*These articles originally appeared in California Energy Markets,
©2002, Energy NewsData Corp. Used with permission.*